



The Public Service Commission State of South Carolina

Jocelyn Boyd
Chief Clerk/Administrator
Phone: (803) 896-5133
Fax: (803) 896-5246

COMMISSIONERS
Comer H "Randy" Randall, Third District
Chairman
Elliott F. Elam, Jr., Second District
Vice Chairman
John E. "Butch" Howard, First District
Thomas J. "Tom" Ervin, Fourth District
Swain E. Whitfield, Fifth District
Justin T. Williams, Sixth District
G. O'Neal Hamilton, Seventh District

Clerk's Office
Phone: (803) 896-5100
Fax: (803) 896-5199

January 9, 2019

Mr. James Rodgers,

Lauson, South Carolina 29456

Dear Ms. Rodgers:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your Comments to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. Your Letter of Protest/Comments will be placed in the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at www.psc.sc.gov.

- Docket No. 2017-370-E - Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Incorporated for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Incorporated, as May Be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans

You can follow this Docket and other daily filings made at the Commission by subscribing to the Commission's Email Subscriptions at this link: <https://dms.psc.sc.gov/Web/Email>.

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling
Executive Assistant

Jan. 6th 2019

Dear. S.C.P.S.C.:

We the rate payers of S.C.
would like very much for you to tell us
just what the — you do, "Other Than
nothing, and get paid for it.

you all sit on your butts
and let the people of S.C. be rape by
Scanna (Scamer) and NA. base Dominion..

If what happen there, is the
very best S.C.P.S.C. could do, your office
did a very piss poor job, you are all
worthless as helping the people of S.C. is
concern.

you all should have fought
to the gates of. — 't for the people, to ensure
not one cent more was paid and that all
that was stolen was return: but you all
gave in: you are each no better than the
one which scam the people. you take your
checks and do nothing.

It would be nice if each of
you, would go before the people on TV and
newspaper and tell us why you did nothing
and tell the truth of it — but I don't think
any of you have the brain to do that — then
step down, because you are worthless
where you are at.

I try to be as nice as I can
and not really into words, that of which
I would so like to say.

my eyes are poor so thats

RECEIVED

JAN 09 2019

OFFICE
SCSPD

why my writing is so poor.

you may reply if you wish my name
and address are below.

James Rodgers.

Ladson SC. 29456

S.C. ratepayers thrown under the bus

At the risk of offending possibly thin-skinned people associated with the South Carolina Public Service Commission, this ratepayer will offer a few comments on its Dec. 14 decision — from under the bus which all ratepayers were thrown. To begin: Boo.

The commissioners claim that they were obliged to follow the law when making their decision.

Given that there are ongoing state and federal law enforcement agencies investigating the doings of SCANA/SCE&G that could impact the application of the cited law, was it not appropriate to stay any decisions pending the outcome of those investigations and subsequent court cases? Would a few months' delay have saved ratepayers from 20 years of higher rates?

If the PSC-approved settlement survives court challenges, more than a million ratepayers will be victimized by that settlement. Included are the present 720,000 or so SCE&G customers together with those who were customers during the nuclear debacle period but have moved on and those who will be customers in the coming two decades.

The Base Load Review Act did not anticipate saddling ratepayers with waste, deception and abuse associated expenses, and it has always been the responsibility of the PSC to look out for the interest of ratepayers.

Were commissioners sufficiently vigilant during the debacle period? Have they acted in the best interest of ratepayers of late? What is the honorable thing for current commissioners to do?

While I am unhappy with the way this thing is playing out, I am not upset with Dominion. I grudgingly admire its virtuous performance that has apparently resulted in an outstanding deal for its stockholders.

Dominion initially baited us with the suggestion of nice checks for ratepayers and then finessed a deal by which those checks need not be written while it is guaranteed to collect above-average regional utility rates for the next 20 years. What's not to like if you are a Dominion stockholder?

Prediction: Dominion will stand by for a few years while the dust settles before coming before the PSC with a rate increase request. The basis of the request will be that unanticipated changes in the market justify approval of its request.

Will the apparent rubber stamp once employed on behalf of SCE&G be employed on behalf of Dominion?

WALTER D. CARR
Campbell Street
Charleston

Letters to the editor can be a maximum of 250 words and are subject to editing for clarity and libel. They must carry the writer's name and address for publication and a daytime telephone number for verification. Publication is discretionary. A form for submitting letters can be found in the Opinion section at postandcourier.com. Letters also can be emailed to letters@postandcourier.com, or mailed to The Editor, The Post and Courier, 134 Columbus Charleston, S.C. 29403-4800.

Jan 7-2019